

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,558	09/19/2005	Rainer Pietig	DE 030091	1461
24737 7590 01/16/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			HANNON, CHRISTIAN A	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	
			•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/549,558	PIETIG, RAINER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christian A. Hannon	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		20.0				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 September 2005</u> .						
·=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objection of bilding accepted or b) $\square$ objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/2005.						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/19/2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rittenbach (US 4,378,559).

Regarding claim 1, Rittenbach teaches a circuit arrangement for a mobile radio device comprising a power divider (Item 14, Figure 1) for dividing a high frequency transmit signal over at least two antennas (Items 10A & 10B, Figure 1) spatially arranged mutually apart and comprising at least one phase shifter (Item 12a & 13a, Figure 1) connected between one of the antennas and the power divider for generating

Art Unit: 2618

a phase difference between the transmit signals radiated by the antennas characterized in that the phase shifter is arranged as a non-reciprocal phase shifter so that high frequency receive signals received from the antennas are applied to the power divider without a phase difference. It is noted by the Examiner that the switch along with item 12A of figure 1 is being interpreted as the 'Phase Shifter' and therefore as per TABLE I (Column 2, Line 27) when switch 13A is closed no phase shift is introduced to the signal propagating however when the switch is open a phase shift of 2phi is introduced.

In regards to claim 6, Rittenbach teaches a mobile radio device comprising a transmit mode/receive module (Figure 1) which includes a power divider (Item 14, Figure 1) for dividing a high frequency transmit signal over at least two antennas (Items 10A & 10B, Figure 1) of the mobile radio device which are spatially arranged mutually apart where a phase shifter for generating a phase difference between the transmit signals radiated by the antennas (Item 12a & 13a, Figure 1) is connected between one of the antennas and the power divider characterized in that the phase shifter is arranged as a non reciprocal phase shifter so that high frequency receive signals received from the antennas are applied to the power divider without a phase difference. It is noted by the Examiner that the switch along with item 12A of figure 1 is being interpreted as the 'Phase Shifter' and therefore as per TABLE I (Column 2, Line 27) when switch 13A is closed no phase shift is introduced to the signal propagating however when the switch is open a phase shift of 2phi is introduced.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,558

Art Unit: 2618

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 3, 7 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rittenbach in view of Coe et al (US 4,812,855), hereinafter Coe.

Regarding claim 2, Rittenbach teaches the circuit arrangement of claim 1, however Rittenbach fails to explicitly teach the use of dipole antennas. Coe teaches dipole antennas (Column 1, Lines 61-64; Coe). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate dipole antennas, such as that taught by Coe, into the teachings of Rittenbach in order to provide a commonly well known art-equivalent antenna.

With respect to claim 3, Rittenbach & Coe teach the circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 2, furthermore Coe teaches that dipole axes of antennas are aligned parallel to each other (Column 1, Lines 61-68; Column 2, Lines 1-2; Coe).

Regarding claim 7, Rittenbach teaches the device of claim 6, however Rittenbach fails to explicitly teach the use of dipole antennas with their axes aligned in parallel.

Coe teaches dipole antennas aligned in parallel (Column 1, Lines 61-68; Coe).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate dipole antennas aligned in parallel, such as that

taught by Coe, into the teachings of Rittenbach in order to provide a commonly well known art-equivalent antenna.

Page 5

In regards to claim 8, Rittenbach and Coe teach the mobile radio device of claim 7 characterized in that the antennas of the mobile radio device are arranged in different distances from the head of a user of the mobile radio device. Rittenbach teaches the use of an equilateral triangle antenna arrangement therefor the peaks of the antennas would be at different distances from a users head (Column 2, Lines 54-60; Rittenbach)

7. Claims 4 & 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rittenbach.

Regarding claim 4, Rittenbach teaches the circuit arrangement of claim 1, furthermore while Rittenbach does not explicitly teach that the distance between the antennas is smaller than the wavelength of the transmit mode and receive signals and in that the phase difference between the transmit signals radiated by the antennas is 180 degrees at most, Rittenbach does teach that the phi is given by (2Pih sin alpha/lambda) and that the axes are given in a parallel spaced points of an equilateral triangle given altitudes of h = BD with all sides equal to 2h/sqrt(3) (Column 1, Lines 58-68; Column 2, Lines 1-2, 54-60; Rittenbach) therefore Rittenbach reads on the current claim language if one were to implement particular values for phi and alpha in order to implement a particular system protocol.

In regards to claim 5, Rittenbach teaches the circuit arrangement of claim 5 furthermore Rittenbach teaches that the distance between the antennas corresponds to

Art Unit: 2618

one or two tenths of the wavelength of the transmit mode and receive signals and in that the phase difference between the transmit signals radiated by the antennas is 100 to 145 degrees (Column 1, Lines 58-68; Column 2, Lines 1-2, 54-60; Rittenbach).

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Boyle (US 6,807,401) discloses an antenna diversity arrangement.

Ayasli (US 4,801,901) discloses a non-ferrite non-reciprocal phase shifter.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian A. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7385. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571) 272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,558

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christian A. Hannon January 5, 2007 LANA LE PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7